

## 2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Neurological Sciences Training Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 12:15:49 PM

### 1. Department or Agency

Department of Health and Human Services

### 2. Fiscal Year

2018

### 3. Committee or Subcommittee

Neurological Sciences Training Initial Review Group

### 3b. GSA Committee No.

1037

### 4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

### 5. Current Charter

02/18/1999

### 6. Expected Renewal Date

### 7. Expected Term Date

### 8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

### 8b. Specific Termination Authority

### 8c. Actual Term Date

### 9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

### 10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

### 10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

### 11. Establishment Authority

Authorized by Law

### 12. Specific Establishment Authority

42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16)

### 13. Effective Date

11/20/1985

### 14. Committee Type

Continuing

### 14c. Presidential?

No

### 15. Description of Committee

Grant Review Committee

### 16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

### 17a. Open Meetings and Dates 0 17b. Closed Meetings and Dates 6 17c. Partially Closed Meetings and Dates 0 17d. Total Meetings and Dates 6

Purpose	Start	End
NIH Peer Review	10/23/2017	- 10/23/2017
NIH Peer Review	01/29/2018	- 01/30/2018
NIH Peer Review	03/12/2018	- 03/13/2018
NIH Peer Review	06/04/2018	- 06/05/2018
NIH Peer Review	06/21/2018	- 06/22/2018
NIH Peer Review	09/17/2018	- 09/18/2018

### Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 6

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$71,600.00	\$71,600.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$224,174.00	\$228,433.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$206,014.00	\$207,792.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$1,370.00	\$1,378.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$8,126.00	\$8,200.00

<b>18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)</b>	\$49,641.00	\$50,634.00
<b>18d. Total</b>	\$560,925.00	\$568,037.00
<b>19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)</b>	1.60	1.60

**20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?**

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of (A) applications; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts.... This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications. They are selected for their training, experience and expertise in the neurological clinical sciences and the neurological basic sciences. The committee reviews all research career development award applications, individual predoctoral M.D./Ph.D. F31 applications, and the NIH Pathway to Independence Award (K99/R00) applications related to neurological disorders. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 291 applications requesting \$172,086,000 in total direct costs.

**20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?**

The members of this committee are outstanding medical or scientific authorities in basic research and clinical sciences related to neurological impairments such as stroke, multiple sclerosis, Parkinsonism and head and spinal cord injury. These committee members also have had extensive experience in formal and informal training programs.

**20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?**

The Neurological Sciences Training Initial Review Group held six meetings during this reporting period.

**20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?**

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

## 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the Neurological Sciences Training Initial Review Group were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

## 21. Remarks

This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. This committee does not have a public website. Due to the large number of members associated with this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide zip codes for all members. Current individual meeting rosters, including zip codes, are available on line at:

<http://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster>. Past individual meeting rosters are available by contacting the DFO. The DFO and Committee Decision Maker positions are both held by W. Ernest Lyons, Ph.D. He is the Chief, Scientific Review Branch, Division of Extramural Activities, NINDS. As Branch Chief, he is responsible for overseeing the Scientific Review Officers who administer the Initial Review Group meetings.

## Designated Federal Officer

W. ERNEST LYONS CHIEF, SCIENTIFIC REVIEW BRANCH

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
ADEOYE, OPEOLU	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ALILAIN, WARREN	10/23/2017	10/23/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
AMIN-HANJANI, SEPIDEH	09/03/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ANDERSON, ADAM	10/23/2017	10/23/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ANIKEEVA, POLINA	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
AOUIZERAT, BRADLEY	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	PROFESSOR AND DEPUTY DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ARMSTRONG, WILLIAM	09/05/2017	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR, NEUROSCIENCE INSTITUTE	Peer Review Consultant Member
ATON, SARA	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BACKONJA, MIROSLAV	09/17/2018	09/18/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BANICH, MARIE	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BAYLY, PHILIP	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BIEBER, ALLAN	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BINDER, DEVIN	09/09/2016	06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

BLAKE, DAVID	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BRASHEAR, ALLISON	09/01/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BRODY, DAVID	09/17/2018	09/18/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BRUCE-KELLER, ANNADORA	01/29/2018	01/30/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BUCKWALTER, MARION	12/05/2014	06/30/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BUTSON, CHRISTOPHER	08/26/2015	06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CAMPANA, WENDY	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CARMEL, JASON	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHALAK, LINA	01/29/2018	01/30/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHALASANI, SREEKANTH	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHANG, ANNE-MARIE	01/29/2018	01/30/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHEN, CHIN-TU	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CLAASSEN, DANIEL	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CLAUW, DANIEL	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
COGAN, STUART	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	VICE PRESIDENT	Peer Review Consultant Member
CONTI, BRUNO	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CUMMINGS, BRIAN	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIR FOR RESEARCH	Peer Review Consultant Member
DORMAN, SUSAN	09/17/2018	09/18/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DOUDET, DORIS	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DOUGHERTY, PATRICK	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DREW, PAUL	09/05/2017	06/30/2023	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DREYFUS, CHERYL	08/30/2016	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DULLA, CHRIS	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ESS, KEVIN	09/17/2018	09/18/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FORD, BYRON	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FREEMAN, WILLARD	09/05/2017	06/30/2020	DONALD W. REYNOLDS CHAIR IN AGING RESEARCH, ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FRIEDMAN, BENJAMIN	01/29/2018	01/30/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GENDELMAN, HOWARD	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	MARGARET R LARSON PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GENTRY, MATTHEW	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

GERHARDT, GREG	08/12/2013	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR & SCIENTIFIC DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GHILARDI, M. FELICE	08/20/2013	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GOLD, MICHAEL	12/05/2014	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GREGORY, SIMON	01/29/2018	01/30/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GUERRERO-CAZARES, HUGO	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GUO, SU	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HACKAM, ABIGAIL	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAJCAK, GREG	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAMMOND, DONNA	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR\	Peer Review Consultant Member
HARDWICK, J. MARIE	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HARRIS, ANDREW	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HARRIS, DAVID	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HECKMAN, BERNADETTE	09/17/2018	09/18/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HENRY, ROLAND	09/05/2017	06/30/2021	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HERZOG, ERIK	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HU, SONG	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
IAKOUCHEVA, LILIA	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
IVRY, RICHARD	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KEENAN, HEATHER	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KLEIN, ROBYN	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LAPLACA, MICHELLE	10/23/2017	10/23/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LEPORE, ANGELO	09/07/2016	06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LETENDRE, SCOTT	09/17/2018	09/18/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LIPTON, JACK	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LOEB, JEFFREY	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR AND HEAD	Peer Review Consultant Member
MACMILLAN, CAROL	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MAHER, ELIZABETH	09/17/2018	09/18/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MA, JIYAN	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MANI, SHAILAJA	10/23/2017	10/23/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

MARSH, ERIC	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MARTIN-GARCIA, JULIO	09/05/2017	06/30/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MASINO, MARK	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MAUE, ROBERT	08/30/2016	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MAYER-PROSCHEL, MARGOT	08/31/2016	06/30/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MCCULLOUGH, LOUISE	08/26/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
McFARLAND, NIKOLAUS	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MCLEAN, PAMELA	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MEINZER, CAITLYN	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MENT, LAURA	01/29/2018	01/30/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MIAO, HONGYU	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MILLER, DAVID	12/05/2014	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MILLER, ROBERT	09/07/2016	06/20/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MOGUL, DAVID	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR AND INTERIM CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MUNISWAMY, MADESH	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MURASZKO, KARIN	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MURTHY, MALA	10/23/2017	10/23/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
O'BRYANT, SID	11/24/2014	06/30/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OJEMANN, JEFFREY	09/04/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PATEL, MANISHA	10/23/2017	10/23/2017	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PAUL, ROBERT	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PETERS, JURRIAN	01/29/2018	01/30/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PLEASURE, DAVID	12/06/2014	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
POE, GINA	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
POROTTO, MATTEO	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PTACEK, LOUIS	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
QUINONES-HINOJOSA, ALFREDO	11/19/2014	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
REDISH, A	09/05/2017	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RHO, JONG	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	SENIOR STAFF SCIENTIST AND ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

RICHERSON, GEORGE	09/02/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR AND CHAIRMAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROBINSON, CATRINA	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RODAL, AVITAL	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RODRIGUEZ, CAROLYN	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SAJATOVIC, MARTHA	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SANKAR, RAMAN	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	PROFESSOR AND CHIEF	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCHWARZ, THOMAS	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SEGAL, ROSALIND	12/04/2014	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHAFER, ORIE	10/23/2017	10/23/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHAH, LUBDHA	11/18/2014	06/30/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHETH, KEVIN	09/17/2018	09/18/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHOYKHET, MICHAEL	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SIDDIQI, OMAR	09/17/2018	09/18/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SILVER, DEBRA	09/05/2017	06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SKOLARUS, LESLI	01/29/2018	01/30/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
STALEY, KEVIN	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	JOSEPH P AND ROSE F KENNEDY PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TAGHERT, PAUL	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
THOMAS, GARETH	09/17/2018	09/18/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TIWARI-WOODRUFF, SEEMA	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TRANEL, DANIEL	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TUNIK, EUGENE	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR & ASSO. DEAN OF RESEARCH	Peer Review Consultant Member
TYLER, DUSTIN	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
VAILLANCOURT, DAVID	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
VARGAS, MARCELO	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
VAZQUEZ, ALBERTO	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	RESEARCH ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WANG, TAO	09/17/2018	09/18/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WESTBROOK, GARY	12/12/2014	06/30/2018	SENIOR SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
WIDGE, ALIK	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WILEY, RONALD	01/29/2018	01/30/2018	Staff Neurologist	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member

WILSON, TONY	06/21/2018	06/22/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIR OF BASIC AND TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH	Peer Review Consultant Member
WISNIEWSKI, THOMAS	07/01/2016	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
XU, ZUOSHANG	03/12/2018	03/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
YOSHOR, DANIEL	06/04/2018	06/05/2018	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHANG, JOHN	09/17/2018	09/18/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 134**

## Narrative Description

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that “The Secretary . . . shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of – (A) applications . . .; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts . . .” This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications. This committee reviews all individual National Research Service Award training grant applications and all research career development award applications. The committee is meeting its mission to provide high-quality, unbiased, and timely scientific reviews of individual National Research Service Award training grant applications and research career development award applications. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 291 applications requesting \$172,086,000 in total direct costs.

## What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Outcome Comments

The recommendations of this committee are the major basis for NINDS decisions about



how to select a subset of highly qualified grant applications for funding.

**What are the cost savings associated with this committee?**

Checked if Applies

- |                            |                                     |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None                       | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Unable to Determine        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000      | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000    | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000  | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Over \$10,000,000          | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Cost Savings Other         | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

**Cost Savings Comments**

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

**What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?**

4,624

**Number of Recommendations Comments**

Grant Review

**What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?**

0%

**% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments**

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

**What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?**

0%

**% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments**

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

**Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?**

Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable ☐

**Agency Feedback Comments**

NA

**What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?**

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Action Comments**

The recommendations of this committee are the major basis for NINDS decisions about how to select a subset of highly qualified grant applications for funding.

**Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?**

Yes

What is the estimated <u>Number</u> of grants reviewed for approval	291
What is the estimated <u>Number</u> of grants recommended for approval	291
What is the estimated <u>Dollar Value</u> of grants recommended for approval	\$172,086,000

### Grant Review Comments

These numbers reflect the total number of applications considered (both scored and not discussed) and the dollar amount requested. The committee also provides numerical ratings to indicate the relative merit of the applications that are discussed.

### How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Access Comments

N/A